

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,422	10/08/2003	William J. van Ooij	UOC / 171	7421
26875	7590 12/07/2005		EXAMINER	
WOOD, HE	RRON & EVANS, LLP		FEELY, M	ICHAEL J
2700 CAREW 441 VINE ST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	I, OH 45202		1712	

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			to
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/681,422	VAN OOIJ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael J. Feely	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	S
Period for Reply	DIVIC CETTO EVDIDE 4 N	MONTH(S) OD THIDTY (30) D	۸VQ
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO litute, cause the application to become A	ICATION.  a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this commun  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	3 October 2003.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-96 is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	frawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-96 are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		•
•			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	accepted or b) objected to	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		0.440(.)(1) (0)	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have bee	n received in this National Stag	je
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	<del></del>	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/681,422 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-25, drawn to a method of treating a metal substrate, classified in class427, subclass 387.
  - II. Claims 26-44 and 46-56, drawn to a method of bonding a polymeric (rubber) material to a metal substrate, classified in class 156, subclass 387.
  - III. Claims 45 and 57-73, drawn to a three-layered tire chord, classified in class 428, subclass 448.
  - IV. Claims 74-84, drawn to a metal treatment composition, classified in class 524, subclass 858.
  - V. Claims 85-96, drawn to coated metal substrate, classified in class 428, subclass450.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects. The first effect results in a coated substrate, while the second effect results three-layered composite.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

Art Unit: 1712

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case (1) the process as claimed can be used to make an other and materially different product, such as a coated metal substrate (without bonding to another material).

- 4. Inventions IV and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using, such as a method of bonding a plastic material to a metal substrate.
- 5. Inventions I and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case (2) the product as claimed can be made by an other and materially different process, such as one that performs substantial hydrolysis after coating the substrate.
- 6. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case (2) the product as claimed can be made by an other and materially different process, such as one that performs substantial hydrolysis after coating the substrate or applies a semi-cured polymer material to the coated substrate.

Art Unit: 1712

7. Inventions IV and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process, such a method of coating a metal substrate (without bonding to another material).

Page 4

- 8. Inventions II and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case (2) the product as claimed can be made by an other and materially different process, such as one that performs substantial hydrolysis after coating the substrate or omits the additional polymer layer.
- 9. Inventions IV and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as protective coating material for a metal substrate (without bonding to another material) and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Art Unit: 1712

anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 5

- 10. Inventions III and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects. The first effect results in a three-layered composite, while the second effect is a coated substrate.
- 11. Inventions IV and V are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an adhesive for bonding a metal substrate to a plastic material and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 12. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1712

13. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II, III, IV, and V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 6

- 14. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Groups I, III, IV, and V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 15. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Groups I, II, IV, and V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 16. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group IV is not required for Groups I, II, III, and V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 17. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group V is not required for Groups I, II, III, and IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 18. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 19. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

ı`

Application/Control Number: 10/681,422 Page 7

Art Unit: 1712

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Feely whose telephone number is 571-272-1086. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael J. Feely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

mullyto

December 2, 2005

MICHAEL FEELY PRIMARY EXAMINER